

Re: Urgent talks re HUB area agenda

tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>

Mon 4/27/2020 11:53 AM

To: Smeallie, Kyle (BOS) <kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org>; davidgwoo@gmail.com <davidgwoo@gmail.com>

Cc: Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>

Kyle, we'll likely have a good portion of the coalition present, maybe five or six.

Here's my draft of agenda, others may have additional points to cover:

1. The HUB area, centered on Market and Van Ness and south, is now proposed to be added to the Market-Octavia Area [?] Plan, largely in D5. The M-O Plan was created with D5 residents and planned to add some 6,000 or so new residents to D5. Approved about 2002
2. The HUB section proposes some 9,000 new residents in the physically constrained area mainly south of Market to the Central Freeway and east and west to about 11th Street. See MAP.
3. 19 lots have new heights granted, largely a windfall, to build to 400-600 feet. All but three have already been approved, are in construction, or built.
4. The expanded M-O Plan ignores the traffic impacts on city transit that pass through this major transportation corridor serving western neighborhoods and the cars that pass through to/from central freeway. It also ignores the traffic generated by the TNCs, deliveries, and services wealthy residents expect.
5. The plan has been in development at Planning for four years, with limited public information and input.
6. A coalition was formed to address the racial and social equity lens now being applied to all city departments and plans.
7. The coalition was approached by the three big developers to offer concessions that would speed building approval in return for the coalition's not seeking further delays to the area plan. The concessions offer significant permanent affordable housing benefits.
8. The coalition is meeting with D5, D6, and D7 supervisors to discuss the proposal, seeking your thoughts and support.
9. This is a confidential, urgent matter!

Tes

-----Original Message-----

From: Smeallie, Kyle (BOS) <kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org>

To: David Woo <davidgwoo@gmail.com>

Cc: Tes Wellborn <tesw@aol.com>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; welchsf@pacbell.net <welchsf@pacbell.net>

Sent: Mon, Apr 27, 2020 11:17 am

Subject: Re: Urgent talks re HUB area and UCSF

Here is the video/call-in info for our 4pm meeting:

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84535260954>

Meeting ID: 845 3526 0954

Call-in:

16699009128

84535260954#

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 27, 2020, at 11:14 AM, David Woo <davidgwoo@gmail.com> wrote:

Please let us know if there is a call-in number or a video/meeting link you would like to use, I can also set up a google hangouts if needed. A few others will be join regarding the Hub as well. Thanks!

-David

On Sun, Apr 26, 2020 at 8:56 PM <tesw@aol.com> wrote:
Yes, 4 is fine for me, and let's do as much as we can then.

David and I can speak re HUB, and I re UCSF

We'll try to be high-level, and yet, there may need some background added in.

Re UC, I'd appreciate a quick update on any conversations Dean or you have had re with UC, Yee's office, and mayor's office.

Telephone? Zoom?

Thanks Tes
415.377.3698 c

-----Original Message-----

From: David Woo <davidgwoo@gmail.com>

To: Smeallie, Kyle (BOS) <kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org>

Cc: tesw@aol.com <Tesw@aol.com>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>;
welchsf@pacbell.net <welchsf@pacbell.net>

Sent: Sun, Apr 26, 2020 5:20 pm

Subject: Re: Urgent talks re HUB area and UCSF

Thanks Kyle for getting back to us, that would be great 4pm works for me. Does that work for you Tes?

- David

On Apr 26, 2020, at 4:56 PM, Smeallie, Kyle (BOS) <kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hi Tes,

Thanks for reaching out. Are you available to connect tomorrow (Monday) at 4pm?

We can discuss both the Hub Plan and UCSF at that time, if that's your pleasure, and have David and Calvin join for either, or both. If you'd prefer separate conversations, I can suggest some times later in the week to talk UCSF.

Let me know what works best for you.

Thanks!
Kyle

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 23, 2020, at 10:45 AM, tesw@aol.com <Tesw@aol.com> wrote:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sue Hestor says that that if a supervisor asks planning to hold an item, such as HUB, they will comply.

[This could be ditto for Housing Affordability Strategies?? also on next week's calendar]

Tes

-----Original Message-----

To: kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org <kyle.smeallie@sfgov.org>; dean.preston@sfgov.org <dean.preston@sfgov.org>

Cc: davidgwoo@gmail.com <davidgwoo@gmail.com>; welchsf@pacbell.net <welchsf@pacbell.net>

Sent: Thu, Apr 23, 2020 10:23 am

Subject: Urgent talks re HUB area and UCSF

Dean and Kyle, we need to talk asap re HUB area and UCSF

That's David and I re HUB area, ideally within a few days.
We have some new information we'd like to discuss.

Calvin and I re UCSF, if possible, before Monday 5pm, or within a week?
We also need to know what you and UCSF have discussed re their expansion plan in past month or so, and looping in Supr. Yee and Mayor Breed.

Thanks! Tes 415.377.3698 c

David 415.699.2272 c